

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 2.0 Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,533	06/25/2003	Dahy A. V. Kliner	SD8317.2 4131		
7590 11/34/2003			EXAMINER		
Timothy Evans			HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
Sandia National MS 9031	Laboratories	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
7011 East Avenue			1731		
Livermore, CA	94550	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/603,533	KLINER ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit			
			John Hoffmann	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,9 and 11-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 8,9 and 11-13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Some application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachment(•						
?) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) aper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 8-9, and 11-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 1 requires that first glass rods are in the bundle/preform assembly and is fused to form a solid preform (see the last three lines and elsewhere). Claim 8 requires removing some of the first glass rods. The claims require two incompatible things.

Claim 8 doesn't further limit a process which maintains all the rods, it takes it to a completely different scope - a scope where the rods are not maintained. Therefore claim 8 does not further limit claim 1. Claims 8-9 and 11-13 are not further treated on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hopkins 3395006.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,533

Art Unit: 1731

Col. 1, lines 67-72 discloses the bundling and insertion of the bundle into a tube.

Col. 4, line 48 discloses that the tube is glass. Col. 3, lines 39-47 disclose the two refractive indices

Hopkins discloses the invention as claimed. However, it is unclear whether the fibers are added as a bundle to the tube, or whether the fibers are added one at a time. It would have been obvious to add the fibers as a group, because it would be quicker than adding them one at a time. The order of adding elements is generally not invention. It is inherent that there would be an average which is predetermined by the particular glass fibers used. AS to the composition of each rod being maintained in a position coincident with the glass rods: a rod has to be coincident with itself - otherwise it is in two locations at the same time. Figure 6 shows the step of heating.

Claim 3 is clearly met as per figure 6.

Claim 5: figure 4 shows a random distribution. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to load the fibers randomly, because it is easier and quicker than assigning each fiber in its own spot - since the fibers are all identical.

Claim 6: see figure 2, which shows an orderly (non-random) arrangement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is 703-308-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,533

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

John Hoffmann //-/)-03 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731
